

Proforma/guidelines for Poster preparation

:Elements:

1. Title, 2. Abstract, 3. Introduction, 4. Methods, 5. Results & Discussion, 6. Conclusion, 7. References.

1. **Title:** Shall be as short as possible. Title shall be self explanatory, catchy & reflecting strength. Use of supporting verbs, non specific words/nouns be avoided. Author must use indicative and highly specific words to form statement.

2. **Abstract:** Length may be limited to 100 words. It must be in structure such as, **A.** research area, **B.** query/ objective addressed, **C.** methods applied, **D.** findings and **E.** implications for subject or general society. The point **A & E** must not consume more words. More words may be used for point **B, C & D.** Please avoid inclusion of references

3. **Introduction (Optional):** One may introduce origin of research and insert at the end objectives of the study. You may include hypothesis if proposed as an objective. This section may use 50-75 words. Avoid including references here.

4. **Methods:** If methods were established and you are using those, please indicate method name and reference (article, review, book, proceeding, etc). Best way is represented as: Method name, Author/s(year). Unless you have developed or modified protocol/methods, please do not write about them. This will help save space and improve clarity.

5. **Results and Discussion:** Because poster or oral presentation is not a full length research article one should be highly specific while discussing results. Results could be your Observations noted along with standard or logical control. Results/ Observations without Control may stand disqualified. Each trial/ experiment must contain control. In many cases your Observations are average of experimental repeats or from population. Consider calculation of variance, standard deviation. One should not describe results/ observations in text only. Use of *Graphs, Photos, Real-time videos, maps or such illustrations* are highly useful. Data presentation in this section must be self explanatory.

mat:

You may interpret your results with previous findings to estimate if your observation are in agreement/ disagree OR superior/inferior to earlier findings. Please consider only highly relevant and meaningful records to compare your results.

Rule of thumb: Be specific, use of illustrations would make data look clean, and easy to follow.

6. **Conclusion:** *Conclusion must be in one line.* The line must be complementing to what is interpreted in earlier section and objective/hypothesis proposed in Introduction.

Avoid using terms as Applications, uses, etc. One may explain to visitor or expert if asked.

7. **References:** Number of references cited may be limited up to 5 only. This suggest author should use only highly relevant and essential, specific reference.

Dr. Arun S. Kharat

Dr. Bharti Gawali Bharti